

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/772,499	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GATHERER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Harry Vartanian	<b>Art Unit</b> 2634	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** PENDING

 (1) Harry Vartanian.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Rodney Anderson(Reg# 31939) .

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 November 2004
**Time:** 10:35
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

1, 3-14

Claims discussed:

1, 3-14

Prior art documents discussed:


US Patent# 6,754,290; RECONFIGURABLE SIGNAL PROCESSOR FOR  
 CHANNEL CODING & DECODING IN LOW SNR WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS (Halter et al IEEE 1998)

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

The meaning of the use of prologs and the novelty of the invention over US Patent# 6,754,290. The use of parallelism and pipelining was discussed. The difference between prologs in relation to windowing was discussed.

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)